

addition, claimant herself did not initially attribute an incident at work to her back complaints but, instead, told her chiropractor on June 7, 1993 that she had experienced increased symptoms in her low back while sitting in front of her computer at home over the weekend. Also, claimant did not report or allege a work-related injury until it was apparent her job was in jeopardy due to her unexcused absences from work. Based upon the entire record, the Appeals Board finds claimant's testimony is not credible.

The Administrative Law Judge properly set forth the pertinent facts pertaining to claimant's alleged accidental injury and the Appeals Board adopts those findings as its own.

AWARD

WHEREFORE, it is the finding, decision, and order of the Appeals Board that the Award of Administrative Law Judge John D. Clark entered in this proceeding on June 5, 1995, should be, and hereby is, affirmed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this ____ day of October 1995.

BOARD MEMBER

BOARD MEMBER

BOARD MEMBER

c: James B. Zongker, Wichita, Kansas
Frederick L. Haag, Wichita, Kansas
Eric R. Yost, Wichita, Kansas
John D. Clark, Administrative Law Judge
Philip S. Harness, Director